

EIA SCREENING - Stage 1 Preliminary Examination

An Bord Pleanála Case Reference	ABP-303810-18		
Development Summary	Whether a portal frame shed for agricultural usage, 5.07m in height and site boundary revisions is or is not development or is or is not exempted development		
Examination			
	Yes / No / Uncertain	Comment	
Is the size of development exceptional in the context of the existing environment?	No	Modest, small scale rural development.	
Is the proposed development located on, in, adjoining or have the potential to impact on a sensitive site or location*?	No	Development is removed from sensitive sites.	
Will the development result in the production of any significant waste, or result in emissions or pollutants?	No	No.	
Conclusion			
Based on a preliminary examination of the nature, size or location of the development, is there a real likelihood of significant effects on the environment **?			
There is no real likelihood of significant effects on the environment	EIAR not required	X	
There is significant and realistic doubt in regard to the likelihood of significant effects on the environment	Stage 2 Screening Determination required		
	Sch 7A information required?	Yes	No
There is a real likelihood of significant effects on the environment	EIAR is required		

EO/ SEO/ Inspector Deirdre MacGabhann Date: 13/06/19

* Sensitive locations or features include SAC/ SPA, NHA/ pNHA, Designated Nature Reserves, and any other ecological site which is the objective of a CDP/ LAP (including draft plans)

** Having regard to likely direct, indirect and cumulative effects, and the criteria set out in Schedule 7 Planning and Development Regulations 2001 (as amended)

EIA PRE-SCREENING – No EIAR Submitted

An Bord Pleanála Case Reference	ABP-303810-18		
Development Summary	Whether a portal frame shed for agricultural usage, 5.07m in height and site boundary revisions is or is not development or is or is not exempted development		
1. Does the proposed development constitute an EIA project? (that is involving construction works or interventions in the natural surroundings)		Yes	X
		No	
2. If YES, Does the proposed development fall within a class of development set out in Part 1 or Part 2, Schedule 5* of the Planning and Development Regulations?			
Tick		Threshold	
		Comment	
		Result	
No		N/A	No EIA or Screening for EIA required
Yes	X	Exceeds / Is equal to / No Threshold	EIAR required
		Sub threshold	X
		Part 2. Agriculture.	EIA Screening required (Issue letter to EPA if IED/ IPC/ Waste licence req'd)
Conclusion			
Development is not within Part 1 or Part 2, Schedule 5. No EIA/ Screening is required			
Development is within Part 1 or 2 and is greater than, equal to, or there is no threshold. EIAR is required			
Development is within Part 1 or 2 but is less than threshold. EIA Screening required			X

EO/ SEO _____ Date: _____

* Including changes or extensions to existing or permitted development